





Inter-University Doctoral Program in Educational Studies

Overview of PhD Degree Structure

The Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) program in Educational Studies is a 7 unit or 42 credit hour program comprising 2.5 units or 15 credit hours of course work (more if deemed necessary at time of admission), a 1.5 unit or 9 credit hour Comprehensive Research/Scholarly Portfolio (with attendant examination) and a 3 unit or 18 credit hour Dissertation. Faculty research strengths and areas within which students can focus their studies revolve around six interrelated themes that mirror recurrent pedagogical issues with which educational studies are concerned: curriculum studies, educational foundations and leadership, inclusive education. Students can concurrently anchor their studies in their 'teachable subjects', if so desired. The degree conferred is a PhD in Educational Studies, not a PhD in any of the themes.

Course Work

Courses deal with: foundations of educational inquiry, research paradigms and methodologies, theories, research methods, and focused educational studies. Students also will receive 1.5 units/9 credit hours for completing a Comprehensive Portfolio and 3 units/18 credit hours for completing a Dissertation, N=7 units/42 credit hours. Students also may take (and may be required to take) Special Topics and Independent Studies, if deemed necessary at Admission. In some instances, doctoral students may arrange to enroll in an existing topic-related Master course(s), augmented with doctoral level analysis and applications.

	nmittee will be constituted d will be offered through a	h residency, FULL-TIME) by December 1st of the first yea combination of on-site and the hing Platform		Entire degree must be completed within 6 years
Summer Seminar One (July on site)	Fall Semester (E-Distance)	Winter Semester (E-Distance)	Summer Seminar Two (July on site)	GEDU 9100/ EDUC 899Z/8990 Dissertation and Oral
GEDU 9001/ EDUC 8013 Foundations of Educational Inquiry .5 unit/ 3 credit bours	GEDU 9003/ EDUC 8033 Doctoral Seminar: Contemporary Educational Theory .5 unit/3 credit bours	GEDU 9005/EDUC 8053 Advanced Research Seminar: Focus on Methods .5 unit/3 credit hour	GEDU 9010/EDUC 8109 Comprehensive Portfolio Examination 1.5 units/9 credit hours	Dissertation and Ona Defense 3 units/18 credit bours Normally completed within 2-4 years after defending Portfolio
GEDU 9002/ EDUC 8023 Methodological Perspectives in Educational Research .5unit/3 credit bours	GEDU 9004/ EDUC 8043 Focused Educational Studies .5 unit/3 credit hours At time of admission.	it will be determined if the	Normally present portfolio to Supervisory Committee for examination by July 1* of second year Normally have 6-12 months after Portfolio Examination to successfully defend Proposal	
	applicant nee GEDU 9006/ EDUC 8063 Special Topics and/or GEDU 9008/EDUC 8083 Independent Study 1 × .5unit/ 3 credit bours	ds elective courses: GEDU 9007/EDUC 8073 Special Topics and/or GEDU 9009/EDUC 8093 Independent Study 1 x .5mit/3 credit hours	smeesynny mytwi i ropoau	
(in consultation with Sup	GEDU 9010/EDUC 810 1.5 units/9 credit hours Assembly of Comprehensir Research/Scholarly Portfor ervisor and Supervisory Co	ve 🗸	J~	

GEDU 9001/ EDUC 8013	GEDU 9002/ EDUC 8023	GEDU 9003/ EDUC 8033	GEDU 9004/ EDUC 8043	GEDU 9005/ EDUC 8053	GEDU 9006 and 9007/ EDUC	GEDU 9008 and 9009/ EDUC	GEDU 9010/ EDUC 8109	GEDU 9100/ EDUC 899Z
Foundations of Educational	Methodological Perspectives in	Doctoral Seminar: Contemporary	Focused Educational	Advanced Research Seminar: Focus on	8063/8073	8083/8093	Comprehensive Research/	& 8990
Inquiry	Educational Research	Educational Theory	Studies	Methods	Special Topics (if necessary)	Independent Study (if necessary)	Scholarly Portfolio	Dissertation

Comprehensive Portfolio

The comprehensive portfolio examination will provide students with an opportunity to demonstrate the extent to which they have synthesized prior and emerging knowledge into a substantive conceptual, methodological and analytical awareness that enables them to successfully undertake and complete a research study that contributes to and extends scholarship within their academic discipline. Students will gather artifacts produced in the first four semesters of the program to demonstrate competence in five principal areas (see below). All elements of the portfolio will be initiated and completed as part of the doctoral program (i.e., work completed prior to the commencement of the program is ineligible). Normally, students will include two or three items from each of the five areas to a minimum of 10 and a maximum of 15 items:

Five Principal Areas of	Competence to	he Demonstrated	in Portfolio
Five Fincipal Areas of	Competence to	be Demonstrated	III FORMONO

General Knowledge	In-Depth Knowledge	Research Knowledge and Competencies	Professional and Collegial Competencies	Teaching and Instructional Competencies
broad familiarity with/understanding of prominent social, scientific and educational theoretical traditions and trends related to educational studies	thorough and detailed knowledge of a range of issues in their specific doctoral focus area (theme(s))	research competence and critical analysis of current research and methodological issues	a range of professional competencies that will enhance active professional engagement	a range of teaching competencies demonstrative of readiness to assume the role of teacher and mentor in academia and other educational/training contexts

Proposal and Dissertation

Normally, within six months (but no more than one year) after completing the comprehensive portfolio examination, candidates will submit a research proposal for the approval of the Supervisory Committee via a public defense. The research presented in the dissertation should constitute a substantial and original contribution to the study of education. Candidates must publically defend their dissertation at a final dissertation defense (includes an external examiner) within three years after the comprehensive portfolio examination, but no later than five years after entering the doctoral program, unless an extension has been granted.







Doctoral Program in Educational Studies

Admission Requirements and Procedures

Admission Requirements

- An applicant will hold a Master degree from a recognized university in education or in a related field of study (i.e., a cognate discipline);
- An applicant will normally have completed a graduate thesis. Applicants who have not completed a graduate thesis in a field related to their doctoral studies will be required to submit evidence of their ability to undertake research in education through the completion of a qualifying research paper of sufficient depth and scope to reflect their research competence; More information about the QRP can be found here: https://www.nsphdeducation.ca/admissions/admission-requirements/qualifying-research-paper-qrp/
- Scholarly preparation to conduct research, normally including graduate level courses in quantitative and/or qualitative research methods and design;
- Three letters of reference, normally including two academic and one professional reference;
- A recent curriculum vitae indicating current initiatives in education, and any academic, scholarly work to date;
- A letter of intent indicating a proposed area of study from among the six interrelated themes and main pedagogical issues of educational studies (i.e., curriculum studies, educational foundations and leadership, inclusive education, lifelong learning, literacies, and the psychological aspects of education). Faculty research interests are available at respective university websites;
- A minimum of A- or 80% average in their highest degree; and,
- An interview with a selection committee that is a subcommittee of the IDAC may be required.

Qualified applicants will be admitted only if a suitable supervisor and program can be provided.

Admissions Process

- Applicants apply to the Inter-University Doctoral Program, identifying potential supervisors (with an option to rank preference) through the Doctoral Program Office, postmarked by November 15th for July 1st entry;
- The IDAC will review all applications recommended by respective Doctoral Program Coordinators and, by majority agreement, recommend acceptance of applicants to the participating institutions (including the identity of an appropriate pro tem advisor);
- The home institution of the pro tem advisor will inform the applicant in writing after March 1st, regarding the decision of the IDAC, according to existing institutional policies. This home institution will then become the Institution of Record for that doctoral student;
- Each pro tem (temporary) research advisor (likely the dissertation supervisor) will arrange a meeting with successful applicant(s) who have been admitted to the home institution. The purpose of this meeting (there may be several) is both to confirm the *Final Doctoral Program Plan of Study* (courses required by the IDAC), to be submitted to the Doctoral Program Office for approval by August 15th. Normally, these meetings also will entail discussions about potential committee membership (form to be submitted by December 1st) and initial agreement on which artifacts will be included in the Portfolio (form to be submitted by April 1st of the following year).
- Wait pool letters and rejection letters normally will be mailed during the first week of March.

In addition to specific doctoral program requirements and regulations set out by the IDAC and the IDGC, doctoral students are bound by the regulations and procedures pertaining to graduate studies at their Home Institution of Record.

Contacts PhD Program Admin Doctoral Program Office <u>phd@msvu.ca</u> Phone: (902) 457-6564

Dr. Heather Hemming IDAC Chair heather.hemming@acadiau.ca

Glossary

- Home University/Institution of Record Students will receive a degree from one of the three participating institutions. Each student's home university is the institution where their supervisor is housed.
- IDAC Inter-University Doctoral Administration Committee This committee comprises two representatives from each of the three participating institutions, and oversees the administration of the doctoral program.
- Annual Admissions Quota Normally, 10 students will be admitted each year: 4 at Mount Saint Vincent University, 3 at Acadia University and 3 at St. Francis Xavier University. Qualified applicants will be admitted only if a suitable supervisor and program can be provided.
- **Competitive Admissions –** Normally, the IDAC will use a competitive admissions policy rather than a rolling admissions policy, but it can consider applicants on a case-by-case basis and may waive the fixed application date, if deemed warranted.
- **Diversity** A respect for the richness to scholarship that comes with student diversity will inform the admissions process. By necessity, the review of applications will be informed by the applicant's academic qualifications for a doctoral level program of study.
- **English Language Proficiency** To achieve success in this doctoral program, applicants will require strong reading, writing, and comprehension skills in the English language. This policy ensures each learner's ability to reach their full potential as a scholar and researcher.
- **Faculty member/applicant's prior relationship** When appropriate, a full disclosure statement of *a prior* relationship between the faculty member(s) and the applicant will be included in the individual's application package (signed by both).
- **Full-Time Status** The program is structured to be **full-time**, anticipating that students attending on a full-time basis can complete their degree within three-and-a-half to four years. Although the IDAC cannot prevent doctoral students from being gainfully employed during their residency period, it is inadvisable to do so given the intensity of studies during this timeframe, and the repercussions of failing a course.

The PhD in Educational Studies is offered jointly by Acadia University, Mount Saint Vincent University, and St. Francis Xavier University. Inter-University Doctoral Program students have the right to take courses and seminars and use the academic facilities of any of the three participating universities in accordance with their approved plan of study.

Completed forms should be emailed to phd@msvu.ca or mailed directly to:

Acadia University, Mount Saint Vincent University, St. Francis Xavier University

APPLICATIO	ON FOR ADMISSION
FULL LEGAL NAME: Please indicate your current name as well as any previous name. If you have had a change in name, such as through marria other legal change, appropriate documentation is required.	
Surname (Last Name):	Country of Birth:
Given Name(s):	Country of Citizenship:
First Middle Previous Name (if applicable):	STATUS IN CANADA: Canadian Permanent Resident Student Visa Other (specify):
Surname First Middle	Date of entry into Canada:
Date of Birth:	(if applicable)
FIRST LANGUAGE*: English French Mi'Kmaw Other (specify):	
MAILING ADDRESS and CONTACT INFORMATION: For corresp information are kept current. It is the responsibility of the applicant to no	pondence related to this application, please ensure that mailing and contact bify the Doctoral Program Office of any changes.
	(Postal/Zip Code) (Country)
Telephone (Business): Fax: (Fax: (Home Business):
ACADEMIC HISTORY: Note: One copy of certified official transcript Curriculum Vitae also are required. A minimum of A- or 80% average in only if a suitable supervisor and program of study can be provided.	ts from each institution attended/degree obtained and a complete Academic highest degree is expected; however, even qualified applicants will be admitted
DEGREES OBTAINED OR IN PROGRESS UNIVERS	SITY/INSTITUTION DATE COMPLETED ANTICIPATED COMPLETION DATE
TITLE OF MASTERS THESIS OR QUALIFYING RESEARCH PAI	PER (QRP) (circle one):
DATE COMPLETED: SUPERVISOR, IF THESIS	S: UNIVERSITY:
APPLICANTS MUST SUBMIT THEIR QRP WITH THEIR APPLICAT	ION FORM (QRP information available at the website)

Acadia University, Mount Saint Vincent University, St. Francis Xavier University

APPLICATION FOR ADMISSION – Continued

REFEREES: List the two Academic Referee Assessment Report" on your behalf.	es (at <i>least</i> two required) and the one Professional	Referee who will be completing a "Referee			
Academic Name:	Academic Name:	Professional Name:			
Position:	Position:	Position:			
University:	University:	Organization:			
••• •	terviewed by a Selection Committee prior to a fina arch purposes, applicants are required to undergo				
IMPORTANT: It is the applicant's responsibility to become familiar with the academic and financial regulations governing graduate studies at the home university.					
I hereby certify that the information provided on this application is accurate and complete. I understand that incomplete, inaccurate or false statements may cause my admission or registration to be rescinded. I also understand that admission requirements at the home university must be met before registration takes effect.					
Applicant's Signature: Date:					
SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION: Please ensure the required documentation is enclosed or forwarded as part of your application:					
Required Enclosures: Preference Form Academic Curriculum Vitae Letter of Intent & Research Plan Application Fee (CDN \$100.00) Cheque or Money Order payable to Mount Saint Vincent University	Enclose or Forward:	Submit if Applicable: Yes N/A Image Proof of Legal Name Change Image Proof of Citizenship or Status Image Proof of Proficiency in English Image Proof of Research Competence			
FOR ID	AC ADMISSION COMMITTE	CEONLY			
Application Approved for PhD Program:] Yes [] No	Start Date: July			
Pro tem Supervisor:	Initial and Date Home University:	^{Year} adia □ MSVU □ StFX			
F	OR HOME UNIVERSITY ON	LY			
Name of Home University:	Date Offer Sent to	Applicant:			
Applicant's Student Number:	Applicant's Respo	onse 🗌 Accept 🔄 Did Not Accept			
Initial and Date:	_				

Acadia University, Mount Saint Vincent University, St. Francis Xavier University

	PREFE	RENCE FORM	1	
APPLICANT				
Surname:	Given Na	ame(s):		
Address:	City/Town	Prov./State	Postal/Zip Code	Country
FIELD(S) OF STUDY: Pleas	se identify your preferred field(s) of	f study within the six Do	ctoral Study Interrelated The	emes.
Educati Inclusiv Iclusiv Lifelong Literaci Psychol POTENTIAL SUPERVISOF determine goodness of fit with supervisor(s) for your doctoral collaboratively by the three par	lum Studies onal Foundations and Leadership re Education g Learning es ogical Aspects of Education C : Applicants are expected to consu their intended doctoral research (se research. Note that applicants appl rther institutions. They receive their on of record"). The IDAC can assig	we website for faculty res y to the Inter-University r degree from the university	earch interests). Below, plea Doctoral Program that is de sity that is home to their sup-	ise identify potential livered ervisor (designated
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ntial Supervisor(s)	gi the applicant a pro-ten	Their University	04515.
•				
•				
Student Number:	at:	 Acadia Mount Saint Vinces St. Francis Xavier 	nt	
fit between the applicant's reselecter of intent must include inf 1. Originality and exp 2. Clearly explained a	nitial Research Plan: The letter of arch interests and the research inter formation organized under the follo ected contribution to knowledge nd focused theoretical research fran appropriate research methodology	rests of potential supervi wing three headings: nework		
doctoral studies as well as to d three categories must be in pla	process is to determine (1) the qual etermine (3) the availability of an a ce in order to recommend the accep	cademic supervisor for t stance of any application	he applicant. Applicants are	
	ormation will be used in evaluating			
Applicant's Signature:		Date:		

Acadia University, Mount Saint Vincent University, St. Francis Xavier University

REFEREE ASSESSMENT REPORT

		Given	Name(s).			
ui nanie.			First	Middle		
ddress:						
	Number and Street					
-	City/Town	Prov./State	Postal/Zip Code	Country		
ield(s) of	Study: 🗌 Curricul	lum Studies				
	·	onal Foundations and Leadership				
	Inclusive Education					
	Lifelong Learning					
	🗌 Literaci	es				
	Psychol	ogical Aspects of Education				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE REFEREE: The information in this report will be considered confidential. Please return the completed assessment report in a sealed envelope with your signature across the seal to either (1) the applicant for inclusion with the application or (2) mailed directly to the Doctoral Program Office at Mount Saint Vincent University.
1. I have known this applicant as:
an undergraduate graduate student in other (specify)
Length of time? In what capacity?
2. In comparison with other students at the applicant's level, how would you rank this applicant?
The applicant was in the top: \Box 5% \Box 10% \Box 25%

Acadia University, Mount Saint Vincent University, St. Francis Xavier University

	KEFEKEE ASSI	ESSIMEN I	REPORT	– Continu	lea		
3. Please rank the applicant	3. Please rank the applicant on the following:						
Place checkmark (🖌) in appropriate column:		Excellent	Above Average	Average	Below Average	No Basis for Judgment	
A. Academic Preparednes	SS						
B. Ability to	Ability to Orally Communicate Academic Writing						
Communicate							
C. Creativity and Capacity for Independent Thinking							
D. Industry and Reliabilit	у						
E. Initiative and Seriousn	ess of Purpose						
F. Intellectual Ability							
G. Research Competence/Potential							
4. (Academic Reference Only) Some gifted individuals have mediocre scholastic records. Do you believe that the applicant's academic record is an accurate indicator of their academic ability? (If answer is no, please explain briefly):							
5. Recommendation for Admission to Doctoral Studies □ Highly Recommended □ Recommended □ Recommended □ Recommended							
6. Please attach a separate page describing the applicant's suitability for admission to this program, and their potential for doctoral studies.							
	rofessional/Organization:						
Signature: Date:							

DEFEDEE ACCECCMENT DEDODT Contin

Form may be returned to applicant in sealed envelope with your signature across the seal, emailed to phd@msvu.ca or mailed directly to:

Date: _____

Acadia University, Mount Saint Vincent University, St. Francis Xavier University

REFEREE ASSESSMENT REPORT

Junnomor		Given	Name(a):			
sui name.			First	Middle		
Address:						
	Number and Street					
-	City/Town	Prov./State	Postal/Zip Code	Country		
Field(s) of	Study: 🗌 Curricul	um Studies				
	Educational Foundations and Leadership					
	Inclusive Education					
	Lifelong Learning					
	🗌 Literaci	es				
	Psychol	ogical Aspects of Education				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE REFEREE: The information in this report will be considered confidential. Please return the completed assessment report in a sealed envelope with your signature across the seal to either (1) the applicant for inclusion with the application or (2) mailed directly to the Doctoral Program Office at Mount Saint Vincent University.
1. I have known this applicant as:
an undergraduate graduate student in other (specify)
Length of time? In what capacity?
2. In comparison with other students at the applicant's level, how would you rank this applicant?
The applicant was in the top: \Box 5% \Box 10% \Box 25%

Acadia University, Mount Saint Vincent University, St. Francis Xavier University

3. Please rank the applicant	on the following:					
Place checkmark (✓) in appropriate column:		Excellent	Above Average	Average	Below Average	No Basis for Judgment
A. Academic Preparednes	S					
B. Ability to	Orally					
Communicate	Academic Writing					
C. Creativity and Capacity	eativity and Capacity for Independent Thinking					
D. Industry and Reliability	y					
E. Initiative and Seriousne	E. Initiative and Seriousness of Purpose					
F. Intellectual Ability	F. Intellectual Ability					
G. Research Competence/						
4. (Academic Reference O record is an accurate indicate (<i>If answer is no, please o</i>	5		_	Do you believe No	that the applican	t's academic
5. Recommendation for Admission to Doctoral Studies Highly Recommended Recommended Recommended Not Recommended						nended
6. Please attach a separate p	bage describing the applicant's	suitability for ad	mission to this p	orogram, and thei	r potential for do	octoral studies.
	ofessional/Organization:					
Tel:	Email:					

REFEREE ASSESSMENT REPORT – Continued

Form may be returned to applicant in sealed envelope with your signature across the seal, emailed to phd@msvu.ca or mailed directly to:

Acadia University, Mount Saint Vincent University, St. Francis Xavier University

REFEREE ASSESSMENT REPORT

urname:		Given	Name(s):		
			First	Middle	
ddress: _					
	Number and Street				
_					
	City/Town	Prov./State	Postal/Zip Code	Country	
eld(s) of s	Study: 🗌 Curricul	um Studies			
	Educati	onal Foundations and Leadership			
	Inclusiv	e Education			
	Lifelong	g Learning			
	🗌 Literaci	es			
	Psychol	ogical Aspects of Education			

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE REFEREE: The information in this report will be considered confidential. Please return the completed assessment report in a sealed envelope with your signature across the seal to either (1) the applicant for inclusion with the application or (2) mailed directly to the Doctoral Program Office at Mount Saint Vincent University.					
1. I have known this applicant as:					
an undergraduate graduate student in other (specify)					
Length of time? In what capacity?					
2. In comparison with other students at the applicant's level, how would you rank this applicant?					
The applicant was in the top: \Box 5% \Box 10% \Box 25%					

Acadia University, Mount Saint Vincent University, St. Francis Xavier University

REFEREE ASSESSMENT REPORT – Conunued						
3. Please rank the applicant	on the following:					
Place checkmark (1) in appropriate column:		Excellent	Above Average	Average	Below Average	No Basis for Judgment
A. Academic Preparednes	S					
B. Ability to	Orally					
Communicate	Academic Writing					
C. Creativity and Capacity	Creativity and Capacity for Independent Thinking					
D. Industry and Reliability	D. Industry and Reliability					
E. Initiative and Seriousne	ess of Purpose					
F. Intellectual Ability						
G. Research Competence/Potential						
 4. (Academic Reference Only) Some gifted individuals have mediocre scholastic records. Do you believe that the applicant's academic record is an accurate indicator of their academic ability? Yes No (If answer is no, please explain briefly): 						
5. Recommendation for Ad		_			_	
Highly Recommend	led Recommended		commended with res	ervations	Not Recomm	nended
6. Please attach a separate j	page describing the applicant's	suitability for	admission to this p	program, and thei	r potential for do	octoral studies.
Referee's Name and Title: Academic/University or Professional/Organization:						
Tel:Area Code + Number	Email:					

REFEREE ASSESSMENT REPORT – Continued

Form may be returned to applicant in sealed envelope with your signature across the seal, emailed to phd@msvu.ca or mailed directly to:

Date: ____

Signature: